

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**TYRES FRAI' AUNT AUTREY**

**PLAINTIFF**

**VERSUS**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:08cv565-HSO -JMR**

**NATIONAL BAPTIST CONVENTION  
and DR. WILLIAM SHAW, PRESIDENT**

**DEFENDANTS**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

This matter is before this Court on the Plaintiff's Motion [2-1] to proceed *in forma pauperis* [IFP] for the purpose of filing this civil action.

The granting or denying of *in forma pauperis* proceedings of a civil nature is left to the sound discretion of the District Court. *Willard v. U.S.*, 299 F.Supp. 1175, 1177 (N.D. Miss. 1969), *aff'd*, 422 F.2d 810 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1970). "Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is a privilege not a right." *Evensky v. Wright*, 45 F.R.D. 506, 507. It is true that "the poor should have access to our courts . . . [b]ut something more than the mere statement and an affidavit that a man is 'poor' should be required before a claimant is allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*.." *Id.* at 507-508. "There is authority for the proposition that the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis* in civil actions for damages should be allowed only in exceptional circumstances." *Id.* at 507 (citing *Weller v. Dickson*, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1963)).

This Court, having examined the Complaint and the IFP application, is of the opinion that the Plaintiff's Motion [2-1] to Proceed IFP is not well-taken and should be denied.

As is usual in cases where a party is proceeding *pro se*, the Court will take precautions to protect Plaintiffs' rights and will instruct them on trial procedure. Therefore, it is,

RECOMMENDED that the Plaintiff's Motion [2-1] for Leave to Proceed IFP be denied. It is further

RECOMMENDED that the Plaintiff must pay the required filing fees to the Clerk of Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, Southern Division, Dan M. Russell Jr., United States Courthouse, 2012 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 403, Gulfport, Mississippi, 39501 within 20 days from the date of entry of this order, or by no later than December 3, 2008. If the filing fee is paid by the Plaintiff or someone other than the Plaintiff, there must be a written explanation that the money is being submitted as payment for the filing fee in this case, Civil Action No. 1:08cv565-HSO-JMR, on behalf of the Plaintiff, Tyres Frai' Aunt Autrey. It is further,

RECOMMENDED that if the filing fee is not received within the period specified above, this matter will be dismissed without further notice to the Plaintiff. It is further,

RECOMMENDED that the Plaintiff's failure to properly serve this complaint upon the Defendant within 120 days after the payment of the filing fee may result in the dismissal of this suit.

For the reasons stated in this Report and Recommendation, the Plaintiff's Motion [2-1] to Proceed IFP should be dismissed with prejudice. In accordance with the rules of this Court, any party within ten days after being served a copy of this recommendation, may serve and file written objections to the recommendations, with a copy to the judge, the magistrate judge and the opposing party. The district judge at that time may accept, reject or modify in whole or part, the recommendations of the magistrate judge, or may receive further evidence or recommit the matter to this Court with instructions. Failure to timely file written objections to proposed findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report will bar an aggrieved party, except on the grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal, unobjected to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district Court. *Douglass v. United States Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415,

1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996).

THIS the 13th day of November, 2008.

s/ John M. Roper, Sr.  
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE